United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,677	09/19/2003	Martin G. Puryear	MS1-541USC1	8244
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 01/22/2007	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			FLETCHER, MARLON T	
SPOKANE, WA 99201		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
<u></u>				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	DAYS	01/22/2007	ELECT	RONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 01/22/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhptoms@leehayes.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/666,677	PURYEAR, MARTIN G.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Marlon T. Fletcher	2837
The MA	ILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOD - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Demay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 THS from the mailing date of this communication. Ply is specified above, the maximum statutory period thin the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted to by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•	
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since thi	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 S</u> on is FINAL . 2b) This s application is in condition for allowa accordance with the practice under <i>B</i>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Cla	•	,	
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-29 is/are pending in the application above claim(s) is/are withdrated is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to is/are subject to restriction and/or electric is/are pending in the application is/are withdrated is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.	
Application Paper	rs	·	
10) The draw Applicant Replacem	ification is objected to by the Examine ing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc may not request that any objection to the tent drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119		
a) ☐ All b) 1. ☐ Ce 2. ☐ Ce 3. ☐ Co ap	dgment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: Intified copies of the priority document or tified copies of the priority document or the certified copies of the priority document or the certified copies of the priority document or the certified copies of the priority document or the p	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)			•
1) Notice of Referer 2) Notice of Draftspo	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

Application/Control Number: 10/666,677

Art Unit: 2837

Page 2

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to instructions regarding channel groups, classified in class 84, subclass 645.
 - II. Claims 11-13, drawn to an audio data message, classified in class 84, subclass 649.
 - III. Claims 14-16, 22, and 23, drawn to data packet including audio data, classified in class 84, subclass 609.
 - IV. Claims 17-21, drawn to velocity values, classified in class 84, subclass626.
 - V. Claims 24-26, drawn to pitch, classified in class 84, subclass 616.
 - VI. Claims 27-29, drawn to reference and presentation time, classified in class 84, subclass 603.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because message is not required to identify the channels. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing operational states.

Art Unit: 2837

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. Inventions III and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the velocity data is not required for the audio data packets. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing the velocity information regarding the audio.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if

Application/Control Number: 10/666,677

Art Unit: 2837

any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions V and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because pitch does not require the reference and presentation time. The subcombination has separate utility such as timing of audio data.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Art Unit: 2837

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/666,677

Art Unit: 2837

Page 6

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T. Fletcher whose telephone number is 571-272-2063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-w, F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MTF January 8, 2007

Primary Examiner